

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Cordel Toomer, # 11596-035,)	C.A. No. 9:05-2083-TLW-GCK
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General; and)	
Warden, FCI Williamsburg,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
)	

This action was filed by the petitioner, proceeding *pro se*, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. This matter is now before the Court upon the Magistrate Judge's recommendation in his Report and Recommendation that the § 2241 petition be dismissed without prejudice and without requiring the respondents to file a return. The Report was filed on August 2, 2005. No Objections have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, for the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 4), and this § 2241 petition is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring the respondents to file a return

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

September 22, 2006
Florence, South Carolina